UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Joel Ramos-Alfaro Defendant	Case No. 1:17-cr-00155-JTN
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, efendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findings o	of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S a federal offense a state or local offense that would existed – that is	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4) which the prison term is 10 years or more.	, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.	
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:	
	a felony committed after the defendant had been conviction U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	ted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: a minor victim	
	the possession or use of a firearm or destrue a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption the person or the community. I further find that defendant has not	
Alternative Findings (A)		
(1)	(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense	
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	s prescribed in: .*
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the sa	
,	Alternative Findin	gs (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa	
	Part II – Statement of the Reas	_
evidence	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detenti a preponderance of the evidence that: dant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest dete	
Defen	dant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be released i dant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the cou	n any case.
	Part III – Directions Regard	ding Detention
	he defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Gene	eral or a designated representative for confinement in a

Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge

defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: July 31, 2017

appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the